






use not at

		<b>TRANSMITTAL LETTER</b> (General - Patent Pending)		Docket No. 4836 B					
In Re: Application Of: C.P. Kelkar, et al.									
Application No. 10/756,080	Filing Date 01/13/2004	Examiner Elizabeth D. Wood	Customer No. 48226	Group Art Unit 1755	Confirmation No. 3114				
Title: NOx Reduction Compositions for Use in FCC Processes									
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:</u></p> <p>Transmitted herewith is:</p> <p>Response, Terminal Disclaimer, and Combined Declaration and Power Of Attorney</p> <p>in the above identified application.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No additional fee is required.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of _____ is attached.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 05-1070 as described below.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Charge the amount of _____</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayment.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee required.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.</p> <p><b>WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.</b></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"><div> _____ Signature</div><div>Dated: 11/22/05</div></div> <div><p>Libby Babu Reg. No. 51,326 3975 University Drive Suite 330 Fairfax, VA. 22030</p><p>Telephone: (703)246-9641 Facsimile: (703)246-9646</p></div> <div><table border="1"><tr><td>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on</td></tr><tr><td>11/22/05 (Date)</td></tr><tr><td> Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence</td></tr><tr><td>Libby Babu Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence</td></tr></table></div>						I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on	11/22/05 (Date)	 Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence	Libby Babu Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on									
11/22/05 (Date)									
 Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence									
Libby Babu Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence									

CC:



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: <b>C.P. Kelkar, et al.</b>	Group Art Unit: 1755
Serial No.: <b>Division of U.S. Serial No. 10/756,080</b>	Examiner: Elizabeth D. Wood
Filed: January 13, 2004	
Title: <b><i>NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction Compositions for Use in FCC Processes</i></b>	Atty. Docket No. 4836B

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE**

This communication is responsive to the Final Office Action dated October 6, 2005 the time for responding thereto being on or before January 6, 2006.

Please consider the remarks presented below as a request for reconsideration:

**REMARKS**

Applicants are presenting a new declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) to correct the defective declaration.

Applicant appreciates Examiner's withdrawal of a 35 USC §103 rejection over Mester et al. Similarly, Applicant appreciates the withdrawal of the obviousness-type double patenting rejection over copending SN 10/268,256.

By the present response, Applicants are enclosing a terminal disclaimer to conclude discussion of the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of Claims 1-10, 14, 17-21 and 30-33 over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,800,586 maintained by the Examiner in the present Final Office Action.

Applicants set forth arguments against the obviousness-type double patenting rejection maintained in the present Final Office Action on Claims 1-10, 14, 17-21 and 30-33 in light of copending Application No. 10/763,812. Applicants contend that the '812 application is unlike the claims of the present invention. As stated in the amendment and response to the First Office Action, the '812 patent is directed toward a NO<sub>x</sub> removal composition and claims a composition having a specific sized